



Fire Services Examinations Board

REPORT TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY PRIME MINISTER AND THE SCOTTISH MINISTERS ON THE QUALIFYING EXAMINATION FOR PROMOTION TO THE RANK OF SUB-OFFICER PART II – PRACTICAL TEST 2002

1. This was the seventeenth occasion on which the practical test was conducted by the Board in accordance with the rules and syllabuses published in May 2001.

It consisted of three sections:

| | |
|-----------|--|
| SECTION A | The conduct of one standard drill. |
| SECTION B | Fireground procedure: taking charge of the situation and controlling the exercise. |
| SECTION C | An instructional talk on a specific fire risk within the candidate's brigade area. |

2. Mr H E Wright was the Lead Assessor and Mr Ian Kerr (Shropshire) the Assessor for the practical tests.
3. The tests were held at local examination centres during the months of April, May and June.
4. The organisation of the tests was undertaken by 21 local examination boards.
5. 416 candidates initially entered for the examination as at the Board's closing date for entries (1 February). However, the final number of candidates entering the examination was 372 after late entries and withdrawals had both been accepted. 20 (5.4%) absented themselves from the examination. Thus, the number of candidates who attended the examination was 352.

RESULTS

6. Of the 352 candidates who attempted the test 254 (72.2%) were awarded a pass and 98 (27.8%) failed. The 254 successful candidates are now qualified for promotion to the rank of Sub-Officer.
7. Of the 11 female firefighters attempted the test, 9 (81.8%) were successful.
8. 20 candidates were absent from the examination and with the changes affecting the Restriction of Entry Scheme, under the revised examinations, only candidates whose appeals related to the final year of their three year period were heard. In this respect 5 candidates appealed to the Board. Under the delegated authority given to the Chairman all appeals were individually considered and the 5 were upheld. These candidates will have a further year to complete their examination.
9. 20 appeals against their examination results were received from 17 candidates. The appeals were conducted in accordance with the Rules. The Appeals Panel consisting of the Secretary of the FSEB, the Lead Assessor and the National Assessor for the Practical Tests reviewed all appeals in the light of the Senior Examiners' reports, examiners' comments and the candidate's original submission. 16 appeals were unsuccessful, which included one re-test.
10. A further 25 candidates have failed to pass their Part II examination within the three year period which means they must now re-sit their Part I examination.

GENERAL COMMENTS

11. The practical test for the qualifying examination for promotion to the rank of Sub-Officer held during 2002 was the seventeenth held under the auspices of the Fire Services Examinations Board.
12. of the 372 who entered the test, 20 (5.4%) absented themselves leaving 352 candidates actually taking the test. 254 (72.2%) were awarded a pass and are now qualified for promotion to the rank of Sub-Officer.
13. When compared to the figures relating to last year's test, the figures for 2002 indicate a decrease of 127 (-25.4%) in the number of candidates entering the examination, a decrease in the number absenting themselves both in numerical and percentage terms. This resulted in a decrease of 111 (-24%) in the number of candidates taking the test. The number of candidates passing the test reduced by 63 although the pass rate increased by 3.7%.
14. These figures have been deteriorating over recent years and when further compared with the figures of 1999, they show an alarming decrease in the number entering the examination from 1102 (-730 (-66%)), a reduction in the number absenting themselves, resulting in a severe decrease from 1014 (-662 (-65%)) taking the examination. The number of candidates achieving a pass and thus becoming qualified for promotion to the rank of Sub-Officer has reduced from 590 (-336 (-57%)) although the pass rate has risen from 58.2% (+14%).

15. The Assessor for the practical test, the Lead Assessor and the Secretary of the Board visited a number of the examination centres to assess the facilities provided and observe the tests in progress. Where improvements associated with the organisation of the local board arrangements were considered necessary, advice was given. Examiners were observed and questioned regarding assessments and were considered competent and fair. The considerable amount of pre-planning applied to the successful provision of these tests is fully realised and the efforts of all those personnel involved are greatly appreciated.
16. The test consists of three parts. Section A requires candidates to organise a composite drill for two crews using their appliances and equipment. In this section of the test 92% of the candidates obtained a pass mark, which is an improvement of about 4.5% to that achieved last year. It is difficult to identify general reasons for the failure of the 8% of candidates, but the failure to demonstrate command, control and an interaction with the crews was the most common.
17. In Section B of the test, candidates are required to demonstrate their ability to take charge of a simulated operational incident, control the work of two crews and disperse the appliances and equipment satisfactorily. In this section of the test the recorded pass rate was 84.4%, which is higher than that achieved last year. General weaknesses applicable to those who failed in this section is difficult to identify but the most common were inadequacies in the gathering and evaluation of information on arrival, risk assessment and observation of risk critical activities, together with an acceptance of a poor application of procedures by crew members. The debriefing of the crews indicates a trend of improvement but there still remains scope for candidates to develop interaction with their crews in order to identify development needs and learning issues.
18. Section C of the test requires candidates to provide an instructional talk on a specific risk within their brigade. In this section, 84.9% of the candidates achieved a pass mark, which is higher than that achieved last year. The general weaknesses of failed candidates related to the provision of generic rather than specific plans on how they would deal with an incident at the risk, a poor structure to their presentation, and the general feeling of examiners that their presentations had been inadequately prepared.
19. The vast majority of candidates who failed to obtain an overall pass did so due to a failure in one of the three sections of the test. Whilst it is tempting to speculate on the reasons for these failures, the lack of proper preparation by candidates for the examination remains that most clearly identifiable to the examiners.
20. Whilst many comments have been made to assist those who failed to achieve a pass in this examination, the high standard displayed during the tests by the vast majority of candidates should be noted.

ASSESSORS' REPORT

21. Detailed comments of the national assessor in connection with the practical test will be prepared and issued to brigades.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS


22. The following tables show the results of the examination as a whole in both graphical and tabular format. Graphs showing the numbers attempting and passing the examination and percentage passing is attached at Appendix A. A summary of the results as a whole and by individual sections of the examination is attached at Appendix B and a full statistical report for the examination, including an analysis by brigade is attached at Appendix C.

G Meldrum
Chairman

D J Stanley
Secretary


Sub-Officers' Practical Test 1992 to 2002

TABLE I



Sub-Officers' Practical Test 1992 to 2002

TABLE II



RESULTS IN TEST AS A WHOLE

Table I shows the results in the test as a whole.

TABLE I

| | 2002 | | 2001 | | 2000 | | 1999 | | 1998 | | 1997 | | 1996 | | 1995 | | 1994 | | 1993 | | 1992 | |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % |
| Passed | 254 | 72.2 | 317 | 68.5 | 428 | 63.5 | 590 | 58.2 | 576 | 56.9 | 520 | 55.4 | 463 | 54.9 | 433 | 50.7 | 429 | 50.1 | 540 | 50.4 | 506 | 48.8 |
| Failed | 98 | 27.8 | 146 | 31.5 | 246 | 36.5 | 424 | 41.8 | 436 | 43.1 | 418 | 44.6 | 380 | 45.1 | 421 | 49.3 | 428 | 49.9 | 531 | 49.6 | 530 | 51.2 |
| Totals | 352 | | 463 | | 674 | | 1014 | | 1012 | | 938 | | 843 | | 854 | | 857 | | 1071 | | 1036 | |

PERFORMANCE IN EACH SECTION

Table II shows the distribution of candidates by section and their performance in the 2002 test.

TABLE II

| Section | Subject | Passed | | Failed | | Total |
|-----------|---|--------|------|--------|------|-------|
| | | No | % | No | % | No |
| Section A | The conduct of one composite drill | 324 | 92.1 | 28 | 7.9 | 352 |
| Section B | Fireground procedure: taking charge of the situation and controlling the exercise | 297 | 84.4 | 55 | 15.6 | 352 |
| Section C | An instructional talk on a specific fire risk within the candidate's brigade area | 299 | 84.9 | 53 | 15.1 | 352 |



Fire Services Examinations Board

Qualifying Examination for Promotion to the Rank of Sub-Officer 2002 Practical Test

FULL STATISTICAL REPORT

ANALYSIS OF ENTRIES BY AGE GROUP

The following table shows the distribution of candidates by age and their performance in the above examination.

Table I

| Age Group | Passed | | Failed | | Total Sat | Total Entered | % of Grand Total |
|----------------|------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------------|------------------|
| | No | % | No | % | No | No | |
| Up To 20 Years | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 20 To 24 | 8 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 8 | 8 | 2.15 |
| 25 To 29 | 61 | 78.21 | 17 | 21.79 | 78 | 81 | 21.77 |
| 30 To 34 | 104 | 76.47 | 32 | 23.53 | 136 | 142 | 38.17 |
| 35 To 39 | 64 | 64.65 | 35 | 35.35 | 99 | 107 | 28.76 |
| 40 To 44 | 16 | 66.67 | 8 | 33.33 | 24 | 26 | 6.99 |
| 45 To 49 | 1 | 16.67 | 5 | 83.33 | 6 | 7 | 1.88 |
| 50 + | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 100.00 | 1 | 1 | 0.27 |
| TOTALS: | 254 | 72.16 | 98 | 27.84 | 352 | 372 | 100.00 |

| |
|-------------------------|
| ANALYSIS BY RANK |
|-------------------------|

The following table shows the distribution of candidates by rank and their performance in the above examination.

Table II

| Rank | Passed | | Failed | | Total Sat | Total Entered | % of Grand Total |
|---------------------|------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------------|------------------|
| | No | % | No | % | No | No | |
| Firefighter | 151 | 73.66 | 54 | 26.34 | 205 | 220 | 59.14 |
| Leading Firefighter | 103 | 70.07 | 44 | 29.93 | 147 | 152 | 40.86 |
| TOTALS: | 254 | 72.16 | 98 | 27.84 | 352 | 372 | 100.00 |

| |
|---------------------------|
| ANALYSIS BY GENDER |
|---------------------------|

The following table shows the distribution of candidates by gender and their performance in the above examination.

Table III

| Gender | Passed | | Failed | | Total Sat | Total Entered | % of Grand Total |
|----------------|------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------------|------------------|
| | No | % | No | % | No | No | |
| Female | 9 | 81.82 | 2 | 18.18 | 11 | 11 | 2.96 |
| Male | 245 | 71.85 | 96 | 28.15 | 341 | 361 | 97.04 |
| TOTALS: | 254 | 72.16 | 98 | 27.84 | 352 | 372 | 100.00 |

| |
|--------------------------------------|
| ANALYSIS BY LENGTH OF SERVICE |
|--------------------------------------|

The following table shows the distribution of candidates by length of service and their performance in the above examination.

Table IV

| Length of Service Years | Passed | | Failed | | Total Sat | Total Entered | % of Grand Total |
|----------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|------------------|------------------------|
| | No | % | No | % | No | No | |
| 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1 | 0.27 |
| 2 | 20 | 83.33 | 4 | 16.67 | 24 | 24 | 6.45 |
| 3 | 30 | 83.33 | 6 | 16.67 | 36 | 38 | 10.22 |
| 4 | 38 | 80.85 | 9 | 19.15 | 47 | 48 | 12.90 |
| 5 | 25 | 62.50 | 15 | 37.50 | 40 | 46 | 12.37 |
| 6 | 25 | 75.76 | 8 | 24.24 | 33 | 34 | 9.14 |
| 7 | 14 | 66.67 | 7 | 33.33 | 21 | 23 | 6.18 |
| 8 | 16 | 72.73 | 6 | 27.27 | 22 | 22 | 5.91 |
| 9 | 13 | 52.00 | 12 | 48.00 | 25 | 25 | 6.72 |
| 10 | 10 | 83.33 | 2 | 16.67 | 12 | 14 | 3.76 |
| 11 | 12 | 80.00 | 3 | 20.00 | 15 | 17 | 4.57 |
| 12 | 10 | 71.43 | 4 | 28.57 | 14 | 14 | 3.76 |
| 13 | 10 | 71.43 | 4 | 28.57 | 14 | 14 | 3.76 |
| 14 | 8 | 88.89 | 1 | 11.11 | 9 | 10 | 2.69 |
| 15 | 4 | 66.67 | 2 | 33.33 | 6 | 6 | 1.61 |
| 16 | 3 | 60.00 | 2 | 40.00 | 5 | 5 | 1.34 |
| 17 | 1 | 33.33 | 2 | 66.67 | 3 | 3 | 0.81 |
| 18 | 6 | 66.67 | 3 | 33.33 | 9 | 11 | 2.96 |
| 19 | 2 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 2 | 0.54 |
| 20 | 2 | 40.00 | 3 | 60.00 | 5 | 5 | 1.34 |
| 21 | 1 | 33.33 | 2 | 66.67 | 3 | 3 | 0.81 |
| 22 | 1 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1 | 0.27 |
| 23 | 2 | 66.67 | 1 | 33.33 | 3 | 4 | 1.08 |
| 24 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 25 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 100.00 | 1 | 1 | 0.27 |
| 26 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 100.00 | 1 | 1 | 0.27 |
| TOTALS: | 254 | 72.16 | 98 | 27.84 | 352 | 372 | 100.00 |

| |
|----------------------------------|
| ANALYSIS BY ETHNIC ORIGIN |
|----------------------------------|

The following table shows the distribution of candidates by ethnic origin and their performance in the above examination.

Table V

| Ethnic Origin | Passed | | Failed | | Total Sat | Total Entered | % of Grand Total |
|-----------------|------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------------|------------------|
| | No | % | No | % | No | No | |
| Black-Caribbean | 1 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1 | 0.27 |
| Black-Other | 1 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1 | 0.27 |
| Declined To Say | 6 | 54.55 | 5 | 45.45 | 11 | 14 | 3.76 |
| Other | 2 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 2 | 0.54 |
| White | 244 | 72.40 | 93 | 27.60 | 337 | 354 | 95.16 |
| TOTALS: | 254 | 72.16 | 98 | 27.84 | 352 | 372 | 100.00 |

| |
|----------------------------|
| ANALYSIS BY BRIGADE |
|----------------------------|

The following table shows the cumulative results achieved in each brigade (grouped in local examination boards).

Table VI

| Board | Brigade | Passed | | Failed | | Absentees | Total Sat | Total Entered | % of Grand Total |
|--------------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|------------------|
| | | No | % | No | % | | | | |
| A | Cleveland | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| A | Durham & Darlington | 4 | 66.67 | 2 | 33.33 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 1.61 |
| A | Northumberland | 2 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.54 |
| A | Tyne & Wear | 7 | 77.78 | 2 | 22.22 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 2.42 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 13 | 76.47 | 4 | 23.53 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 4.57 |
| B | Humberside | 7 | 77.78 | 2 | 22.22 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 2.42 |
| B | North Yorkshire | 4 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1.34 |
| B | South Yorkshire | 7 | 70.00 | 3 | 30.00 | 1 | 10 | 11 | 2.96 |
| B | West Yorkshire | 3 | 42.86 | 4 | 57.14 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 1.88 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 21 | 70.00 | 9 | 30.00 | 2 | 30 | 32 | 8.60 |
| C | Cumbria | 3 | 75.00 | 1 | 25.00 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1.34 |
| C | Greater Manchester | 19 | 73.08 | 7 | 26.92 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 6.99 |
| C | Lancashire | 3 | 50.00 | 3 | 50.00 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 1.61 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 25 | 69.44 | 11 | 30.56 | 1 | 36 | 37 | 9.95 |
| D | Cheshire | 5 | 71.43 | 2 | 28.57 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 1.88 |
| D | Isle of Man | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 100.00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.27 |
| D | Merseyside | 6 | 85.71 | 1 | 14.29 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 1.88 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 11 | 73.33 | 4 | 26.67 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 4.03 |
| E | Shropshire | 4 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1.08 |
| E | Staffordshire | 6 | 85.71 | 1 | 14.29 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 2.15 |
| E | Warwickshire | 2 | 66.67 | 1 | 33.33 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 1.34 |
| E | West Midlands | 13 | 56.52 | 10 | 43.48 | 3 | 23 | 26 | 6.99 |
| E | Hereford and Worcs | 2 | 66.67 | 1 | 33.33 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0.81 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 27 | 67.50 | 13 | 32.50 | 6 | 40 | 46 | 12.37 |
| F | Derbyshire | 4 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1.08 |
| F | Leicestershire | 1 | 33.33 | 2 | 66.67 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0.81 |
| F | Nottinghamshire | 5 | 83.33 | 1 | 16.67 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 1.61 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 10 | 76.92 | 3 | 23.08 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 3.49 |

Continues...

Appendix C

| Board | Brigade | Passed | | Failed | | Absentees | Total Sat | Total Entered | % of Grand Total |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|------------------|
| | | No | % | No | % | No | No | No | |
| G | Cambridgeshire | 1 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.27 |
| G | Lincolnshire | 4 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1.08 |
| G | Norfolk | 4 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1.34 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 9 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 2.69 |
| H | Bedfordshire & Luton | 2 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.54 |
| H | Northamptonshire | 3 | 75.00 | 1 | 25.00 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1.08 |
| H | Oxfordshire | 3 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0.81 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 8 | 88.89 | 1 | 11.11 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 2.42 |
| I | Essex | 11 | 78.57 | 3 | 21.43 | 2 | 14 | 16 | 4.30 |
| I | Hertfordshire | 2 | 66.67 | 1 | 33.33 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0.81 |
| I | Suffolk | 4 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1.08 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 17 | 80.95 | 4 | 19.05 | 2 | 21 | 23 | 6.18 |
| J | London | 26 | 70.27 | 11 | 29.73 | 0 | 37 | 37 | 9.95 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 26 | 70.27 | 11 | 29.73 | 0 | 37 | 37 | 9.95 |
| K | East Sussex | 3 | 75.00 | 1 | 25.00 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1.34 |
| K | Kent | 3 | 50.00 | 3 | 50.00 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 1.88 |
| K | Surrey | 1 | 50.00 | 1 | 50.00 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.54 |
| K | West Sussex | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 100.00 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0.81 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 7 | 46.67 | 8 | 53.33 | 2 | 15 | 17 | 4.57 |
| L | Berkshire | 6 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 2.15 |
| L | Buckinghamshire | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 100.00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.27 |
| L | Hampshire | 3 | 42.86 | 4 | 57.14 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 1.88 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 9 | 64.29 | 5 | 35.71 | 2 | 14 | 16 | 4.30 |
| M | Cornwall | 6 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 1.61 |
| M | Devon | 2 | 66.67 | 1 | 33.33 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 1.61 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 8 | 88.89 | 1 | 11.11 | 3 | 9 | 12 | 3.23 |
| N | Dorset | 1 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.27 |
| N | Wiltshire | 1 | 33.33 | 2 | 66.67 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0.81 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 2 | 50.00 | 2 | 50.00 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1.08 |
| O | Avon | 8 | 80.00 | 2 | 20.00 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 2.69 |
| O | Gloucestershire | 4 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1.08 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 12 | 85.71 | 2 | 14.29 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 3.76 |

Continues...

| Board | Brigade | Passed | | Failed | | Absentees | Total Sat | Total Entered | % of Grand Total |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|------------|---------------|------------------|
| | | No | % | No | % | No | No | No | |
| P | Mid & West Wales | 2 | 40.00 | 3 | 60.00 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 1.34 |
| P | North Wales | 3 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0.81 |
| P | South Wales | 6 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 1.61 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 11 | 78.57 | 3 | 21.43 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 3.76 |
| Q | Strathclyde | 11 | 61.11 | 7 | 38.89 | 1 | 18 | 19 | 5.11 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 11 | 61.11 | 7 | 38.89 | 1 | 18 | 19 | 5.11 |
| R | Fife | 3 | 75.00 | 1 | 25.00 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1.08 |
| R | Grampian | 1 | 50.00 | 1 | 50.00 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.54 |
| R | Highlands and Islands | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 100.00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.27 |
| R | Tayside | 6 | 75.00 | 2 | 25.00 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 2.15 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 10 | 66.67 | 5 | 33.33 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 4.03 |
| S | Central Scotland | 5 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 1.34 |
| S | Dumfries & Galloway | 2 | 66.67 | 1 | 33.33 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0.81 |
| S | Lothian and Borders | 7 | 77.78 | 2 | 22.22 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 2.42 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 14 | 82.35 | 3 | 17.65 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 4.57 |
| T | Northern Ireland | 3 | 75.00 | 1 | 25.00 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1.08 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 3 | 75.00 | 1 | 25.00 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1.08 |
| U | Guernsey | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 100.00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.27 |
| Sub-Totals: | | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 100.00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.27 |
| TOTALS: | | 254 | 72.16 | 98 | 27.84 | 20 | 352 | 372 | 100.00 |

Published by:
Fire Services Examinations Board
Layden House
76 - 86 Turnmill Street
London
EC1M 5LG

Telephone: 0207 296 6600
Fax: 0207 296 6591

www.fseb.gov.uk

PDF version produced for FSEB by Electronic Equipments Ltd. eelnet@ntlworld.com

This PDF file was produced on 2 August 2003.